

Cd Alternatives Workshop

Findings on Electrical Connectors and Fasteners

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info	s regarding this burden estimate or ormation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the property of the contract of the con	his collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE AUG 2011			3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Findings on Electrical Connectors and Fasteners				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Rowan Technology Group,1590 S. Milwaukee Ave., Suite 205,Libertyville,IL,60048				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Focused Workshop SERDP/ESTCP.	otes o on Cadmium Plati	ng Alternatives, Au	igust 30-31, 2011,	Baltimore, N	ID. Sponsored by	
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF		
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	OF PAGES 7	RESPONSIBLE PERSON	

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188



Connectors



- People have honed in on
 - Electroless Ni-PTFE galvanic issues?
 - ZnNi fails conductivity after corrosion (is this true for Zn16Ni?)
 - Pure Al (AlumiPlate) incompatible with Sn and Ni?
- Electroless Ni -PTFE would be expected to be galvanically incompatible
 - Testing says otherwise, but no details available
 - Does PTFE "inert" the surface, change galvanic potential or galvanic current?



Connectors – DoD-specific requirements



- See briefings by Rich Misiaszek and Jeri Brunson
- Vessels (esp) and aircraft need back compatibility
- Connectors often maltreated (stood, climbed on)
- Ships: sailors handle connectors to check
 - Exposure to Cd and Cr⁶⁺



Connectors - testing



- Galvanic compatibility most important issue, especially for EN-PTFE
 - Testing for all alt coatings, back compatibility with existing coating systems
 - Testing should be whole system, including backplane
- Beach testing (under way, Steve Brown)
 - What additional tests needed?
- Large test matrix planned by Jeri Brunson
 - Input?
- Other?





- OEMs implement clean alternatives, but Cd keeps coming back through parts bins
- Aircraft mostly Ti, CRES (match composites)
- Dip spin on BAE Systems MMPV good but not universal
 - □ Called out by drawing (same for Rock Island FRS)
- Boeing "all but qual" Atotech ZnNi on fasteners
- TARDEC trying to get AlumiPlate onto vehicle systems
 - Could LHE ZnNi or AlumiPlate + non-Cr sealer be universal?
- DLA: New finishes need new NSNs
 - Can get an Interchangeable Number that provides products to a second "clean product" NSN when ordered on old NSN
 - Make sure specify as "Green" when create new NSN



Fasteners - DoD-specific requirements





- See briefing by Louie Tran
- Friction: Torque-tension, locking, run-on torque are most critical to avoid different torques
 - AlumiPlate requires DFL
 - □ 7nNi no DFI?
 - Dip spin no DFL



Fasteners - testing



- Do we need any more fastener testing?
 - We have 3 alts tested and ready
 - Dip spin for vehicles
 - > LHE ZnNi for aircraft universal?
 - AlumiPlate for vehicles, some aircraft universal?
 - □ Are we ready to implement/field test?
- What more testing needed?